

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/480,826	01/10/2000	Charles S. Taylor	GUID-006CON5 4782		
24353 7	590 07/13/2006		EXAMINER		
	FIELD & FRANCIS	DAWSON,	DAWSON, GLENN K		
SUITE 200	SITY AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
EAST PALO A	ALTO, CA 94303	3731			
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					•			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/480,82	6	TAYLOR ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Glenn K. [		3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	is -			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFERENCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state pely received by the Office later than three months after the mated patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve od will apply and wi tute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	Anril 2006						
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	his action is n	on-final.					
3)	<u></u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-16,19-23 and 26</u> is/are pending in	n the applicati	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) 1-12,14-16,19-23 and 26 is/are allo							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers				•			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	ccepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	.52.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignate All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication fr	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge			
2)  Notice (3)  Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		2)			

Art Unit: 3731

#### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 13 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 42-45 of U.S. Patent No. 5730757.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claim is merely broader in scope than those of the patent, and/or use alternative names for the same corresponding structure, such as "support pad" instead of "shoe".

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-12 and 14-16,19-23 and 26 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/480,826

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 27 June 2006